

To: Jodi Heflin, HNTB

From: Michael Leathers, HMB

Date: September 19, 2022

Re: Stream and Wetland Summary Brent Spence Bridge Corridor KYTC Item No. 6-17.00 ODOT PID 116649

### **Introduction**

The proposed project is located in Kenton County, KY, and Hamilton County, OH, and consists of the reconstruction of approximately five miles of I-71/I-75 in Kentucky and one mile of I-75 in Ohio, including the construction of a new companion bridge over the Ohio River just to the west of the existing Brent Spence Bridge (BSB). The project will extend from south of the Dixie Highway Interchange in Kentucky to Linn Street in Ohio, and consists of interstate reconstruction, widening, and reconfiguring interchanges.

For purposes of this report, the "survey area" is defined as the proposed project limits within Kentucky. No evaluation of potential impacts occurred in Ohio. Exhibits 1 and 2 in Appendix A show the general project area on topographic mapping and aerial photography, respectively.

#### **Potential Impacts to the Ohio River**

The project will build a new double-decker companion bridge west of the existing BSB. There are two bridge types under consideration, an arch bridge, and a cable-stayed bridge. The preferred alternative described in the 2012 Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) provides a span length over the main navigation channel for the Ohio River of approximately 1,000 feet from center to center of the proposed piers for the new bridge. However, coordination with the U.S. Coast Guard is ongoing, and the required length of the main span may be reduced from 1,000 feet to 870 feet during the final design. Permanent impacts to the Ohio River will occur from pier construction for the new companion bridge. Construction is anticipated to be completed primarily from barges, and cofferdams will be used to dewater the areas surrounding the proposed piers. Temporary impacts to the Ohio River are anticipated due to construction and barge staging and cofferdam construction. No in-stream work will occur during the rehabilitation of the existing BSB.

While construction details and impacts on the Ohio River will be finalized during the detailed design of the new companion bridge, the total impacts on the Ohio River are estimated to be 350 feet of permanent impacts and 283 feet of temporary impacts. These estimated lengths of impact are carried forward through this report, however, the Ohio River was not assessed in the field.

#### **Stream and Wetland Methodology**

Prior to field investigations, potential streams were identified by reviewing the US. Geological Survey's (USGS) 7.5-minute series topographic mapping, National Elevation Dataset, and the USGS Hydrology of Kentucky website. Then a walkover survey was conducted, and all streams identified were evaluated using the Kentucky Division of Water's (KDOW) *Methods for Assessing Biological Integrity of Surface Waters in Kentucky* and the Environmental Protection

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

**Environmental Planning** 

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

**Project Management** 

**Cost Estimation** 

Stream Restoration

**Construction Inspection** 

**Aviation Services** 

**Environmental Remediation** 



Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

**Right-of-Way** 

Master Planning

**Environmental Planning** 

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

**Project Management** 

**Cost Estimation** 

Stream Restoration

**Construction Inspection** 

Aviation Services

**Environmental Remediation** 

Agency's (EPA) Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) Habitat Assessment score. Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheets were completed at each stream.

For wetlands, aerial photography, USGS topographic mapping, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife's (USFWS) *National Wetland Inventory* (NWI) were reviewed to locate any known or possible wetlands. Then, a walkover survey was conducted to identify the known wetlands and search for potential wetlands in the study area. All wetlands were delineated based on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) *1987 Corps Wetland Delineation Manual* and using the Wetland Delineation Regional Supplements.

Field investigations were conducted in July and August of 2022. Identified streams and wetlands are shown in Exhibit 3 of Appendix A, photographs are provided in Appendix B, and the RBP forms and wetland determination forms are provided in Appendix C.

#### **Stream Impact Summary**

Eleven (11) streams, including three perennial streams (one being the Ohio River) and eight intermittent, were delineated and assessed within the survey area. Ten of the streams were unnamed tributaries to the Licking River or Ohio River, and all are closely associated with the existing I-75 and drain heavily developed urban residential and commercial areas. Below is a summary of each stream and their approximate locations and receiving waters are provided in Table 1.

Note that seven of the streams were previously identified In the Ecological Survey Report completed for the project in February of 2010. When applicable, the stream names match those from that report. Some streams, however, were evaluated as a new stream type (ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial), so those streams were renamed. There were also three new streams identified, intermittent streams INT 14, 15, and 16. The Ohio River was also not discussed in the previous report, so it has been named PER 3. Table 1 includes notes of each situation and how they relate to the previous survey report.

- Stream PER 1 is a poor-quality perennial stream that flows under the existing road, and into Pleasant Run Creek.
- Stream INT 12 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S01.
- Stream INT 14 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S01.
- Stream PER 2 is a poor-quality perennial stream that flows into Banklick Creek.
- Stream INT 15 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S07.
- Stream INT 16 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S06.
- Stream INT 17 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Wetland W3.
- Stream INT 18 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S11.
- Stream INT 6 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S11.
- Stream INT 19 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Wetland W4.
- Stream PER 3, the Ohio River, is generally a high-quality stream and a traditional navigable waterway. The Ohio River will flow under the proposed companion bridge.



#### **Table 1: Stream Location**

Stream Name	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water	Notes	
PER 1	39.050786	-84.559824	Pleasant Run Creek/ Ohio River	Previously named INT 11.	
INT 12	39.052142	-84.559771	Stream S01/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	As previously documented.	
INT 14	39.052276	-84.559729	Stream S01/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	Previously named EPH 10.	
PER 2	39.054568	-84.543952	Banklick Creek/ Licking River	Previously named INT 2.	
INT 15	39.056423	-84.543709	Stream S07/Banklick Creek/Licking River	Not previously identified.	
INT 16	39.050894	-84.557030	Stream S06/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	Previously named EPH 7.	
INT 17	39.064124	-84.524133	Wetland W3/unnamed tributary/ Licking River	Previously named EPH 2.	
INT 18	39.064221	-84.523712	Stream S11/ Licking River	Not previously identified.	
INT 6	39.064110	-84.523857	Stream S11/ Licking River	As previously documented.	
INT 19	39.070053	-84.520595	Wetland W4/unnamed tributary/Ohio River	Not previously identified.	
PER 3	39.090936	-84.522904	Mississippi River	Not previously discussed.	

Additional details on the streams, as well as the estimated length of impacts from the project, are summarized in Table 2. All impacts are permanent impacts unless noted in the table. Also, the width and depth measurements for the Ohio River are estimated based on available mapping and online information.

#### **Table 2: Stream Impact Summary**

Stream	Stream	Cowardin	Length of	Width	Depth	RI	3P
Name	Туре	Class	Impact (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	Score	Rating
PER 1	Perennial	R3	134	10	2	117	Poor
INT 12	Intermittent	R4	99	5	1.5	82	Poor
INT 14	Intermittent	R4	304	5	1	84	Poor
PER 2	Perennial	R3	64	8	2	108	Poor
INT 15	Intermittent	R4	168	4	0.7	78	Poor
INT 16	Intermittent	R4	51	6	1	65	Poor
INT 17	Intermittent	R4	125	7	1.5	97	Poor
INT 18	Intermittent	R4	43	6	1.5	97	Poor
INT 6	Intermittent	R4	90	6	1.5	90	Poor
INT 19	Intermittent	R4	134	6	0.7	90	Poor
PER 3	Perennial	R2	350 (perm) 283 (temp)	1,057	167	n/a	n/a

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

**Environmental Planning** 

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

**Project Management** 

**Cost Estimation** 

Stream Restoration

**Construction Inspection** 

**Aviation Services** 

**Environmental Remediation** 



#### Wetland Impact Summary

Two (2) emergent wetlands were delineated within the survey area. Both wetlands are fully within the project limits. Below is a summary of each wetland. Table 3 gives information on the wetland location while Table 4 outlines the impacts of the project. Note, similar to the streams, gaps in the wetland numbering are due to wetland assessed in the field but later identified to be located outside of the project study area. A summary of the two wetlands is provided in Table 2.

- Wetland WET 6 is a small emergent wetland dominated by cattails (*Typha angustifolia*), into which Stream S11 drains. It is a retention area for the roadway.
- Wetland WET 8 is an emergent wetland dominated by cattails (*Typha angustifolia*), into which Stream S15 drains. It is a retention area for the roadway.

#### Table 3: Wetland Location

Wetland Name	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water	
WET 6	39.064104	-84.523090	unnamed tributary/Licking River	
WET 8	39.071367	-84.520363	unnamed tributary/Ohio River	

#### **Table 4: Wetland Impact Summary**

Wetland Name	Cowardin Class	Total Wetland Size (acres)	Impacted Area (acres)
WET 6	Emergent	0.81	0.81
WET 8	Emergent	1.57	1.57

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

**Right-of-Way** 

Master Planning

**Environmental Planning** 

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

**Project Management** 

**Cost Estimation** 

Stream Restoration

**Construction Inspection** 

**Aviation Services** 

**Environmental Remediation** 

#### **Results and Conclusion**

In Kentucky, the proposed project will have permanent impacts on ten (11) streams and two (2) wetlands. Stream impacts include two (3) perennial streams and eight (8) intermittent streams. Total lengths and acreages of these impacts are provided in Tabe 5.

#### **Table 5: Summary of Impacts**

Туре	Number	Length of Impacts
Permanent Stream Impacts		
Ephemeral	0	0 lf.
Intermittent	8	1,014 lf.
Perennial	3	548 lf.
Permanent Stream Impact Totals	11	1,562 lf.
Temporary Stream Impacts	1	283 lf.
Wetlands	2	2.38 ac.

Other streams in the vicinity of the project may be affected by sedimentation during the construction of the proposed project. The use of heavy equipment to move earth and vegetation can disrupt natural drainage patterns and expose soils to erosion. If uncontrolled, this would cause sediments to build up in streams. In addition to direct impacts on streams and associated habitats, the project would produce sources of non-point source pollution such as de-icing compounds and herbicides and pesticides; surface runoff originating from a



Highway Engineering Structural Engineering Water & Wastewater Site Development Right-of-Way

Master Planning

**Environmental Planning** 

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

**Project Management** 

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration

**Construction Inspection** 

**Aviation Services** 


Environmental Remediation


vehicular operation such as oil, grease, various heavy metals, asbestos, and rubber; spillage of toxic chemicals transported by trucks and polluted fill material. De-icing compounds and herbicide/pesticide usage are seasonal and would result in short-term concentration increases. However, due to the small quantities of such pollutants, no adverse impacts are anticipated. Short-term impacts from rechanneling of streams for bridge and/or culvert placement are not anticipated since all impacted streams are considered permanent impacts.

A 404 USACE permit and a KDOW 401 Water Quality Certification will be required prior to construction and a final determination of stream and wetland impacts will be made at that time. Mitigation measures will also be developed during the permitting process. For KYTC, this typically involves purchasing credits from the In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program operated by the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR). A Section 9 permit from the US Coast Guard and a Section 10 permit from the USACE will also be required.


# **APPENDIX A**

# **Exhibits**







Study	Area
Claay	/ 11 0 0










# **Exhibit 3-1: Environmental Impacts**






# **Exhibit 3-2: Environmental Impacts**






# **Exhibit 3-3: Environmental Impacts**







# **Exhibit 3-4: Environmental Impacts**







# **Exhibit 3-5: Environmental Impacts**







# **Exhibit 3-6: Environmental Impacts**






# **Exhibit 3-7: Environmental Impacts**





# **Exhibit 3-8: Environmental Impacts**



# **APPENDIX B**

Photographs

## PHOTOS OF STREAMS AND WETLANDS

## Kentucky Portion of the Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Project KYTC Item No. 6-17 Kenton County, KY

### Stream PER 1, Perennial, RBP Score 117



#### Stream INT 12, Intermittent, RBP Score 82



Stream INT 14, Intermittent, RBP Score 84



### Stream PER 2, Perennial, RBP Score 108



Stream INT 15, Intermittent, RBP Score 78



### Stream INT 16, Intermittent, RBP Score 65



Stream INT 17, Ephemeral, RBP Score 97



### Stream INT 18, Intermittent, RBP Score 97



Stream INT 6, Intermittent, RBP Score 90



### Stream INT 19, Intermittent, RBP Score 90



### Wetland WET 6, PEM



Wetland WET 8, PEM



# **APPENDIX C**

# **Stream and Wetland Data Forms**

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 1	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0507863684</u> LONG <u>-84.5598242488</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/13/22</u> TIME <u>10:42</u> <b>№</b> 1 РМ	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat	Condition Category						
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat i obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <b>¥</b> ⁄8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ed II	SCORE	20 19 18 1 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
Parameters to be evaluated in	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocit depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
al all	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1/0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
P	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <b>1⁄2</b> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
		Water reaches base of both lower banks, and	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or	Very little water in channel and mostly			
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull) SCORE	minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	<25% of channel substrate is exposed.	riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	present as standing pool:			

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_2' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_\_10' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <b>↓</b> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖌	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 117

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 1	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0507863684</u> LONG <u>-84.5598242488</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/13/22</u> TIME <u>10:42</u> <b>№</b> 1 РМ	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat	Condition Category						
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat i obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <b>¥</b> ⁄8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.			
ed II	SCORE	20 19 18 1 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
Parameters to be evaluated in	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocit depth regime (usually slow-deep).			
al all	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1/0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
P	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <b>1⁄2</b> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1			
		Water reaches base of both lower banks, and	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or	Very little water in channel and mostly			
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull) SCORE	minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	<25% of channel substrate is exposed.	riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	present as standing pool:			

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_2' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_\_10' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 <b>↓</b> 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖌	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 117

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 14	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0522760047</u> LONG <u>-84.5597287288</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/13/22</u> TIME <u>11:25</u> <b>№</b> РМ	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat	ļ,	Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 <b>1</b> /4 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
tions - Guit Juing ut nomining an on a second in the	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🖋 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocit depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	¥ <b>0</b> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more thar 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 🗸 6	5 4 3 2 1
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pool
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 🖌 (

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_1' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_5' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	<b>∛</b> 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	<ol> <li>8. Bank Stability (score each bank)</li> <li>Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.</li> </ol>	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🖸 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🖌 3	2 1 0

Total Score <u>84</u>

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 2	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН )
LAT <u>39.0545682559</u> LONG <u>-84.5439518003</u>	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/13/22</u> TIME <u>1:02</u> AM M	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat			Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 1/4 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
tions for find in pomping of a gradient	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are m than 75% surrounded t fine sediment.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 1/4 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 veloc: depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 🌾 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fi material, increased to development; more tha 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent du substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 <b>1⁄2</b> 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull) SCORE		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing poo
		20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖌	5 4 3 2 1

 Bankfull Depth:
 2'
 Bankfull Width:
 8'
 Bankfull Area:

 Max. Wetted Depth:
 8''
 Specific Conductivity:
 Temp:

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 🎜 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<b>1</b> Ø9876	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e va	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 <b>4</b> 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🖌 3	2 1 0

Total Score 108

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 15	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	EPH)
LAT 39.0564228861 LONG -84.5437087049	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE         7/13/22           TIME         1:21         AM         ✔	REASON FOR SURVEY

Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are m than 75% surrounded b fine sediment.
20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 veloci depth regime (usually slow-deep).
20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	¥0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fir material, increased b development; more tha 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🌮 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing poo
	epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent). 20 19 18 17 16 Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space. 20 19 18 17 16 All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.) 20 19 18 17 16 Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).full colonization of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).20191817161514131211Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment.Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.20191817161514131211All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).20191817161514131211Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.1514131211Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.1514131211	epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transfeft).       full colonization populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).       availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or moved.         20       19       18       17       16       15       14       13       12       11       10       9       8       ✓       6         Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment.       Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.       Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.         20       19       18       17       16       15       14       13       12       11       10       ✓       8       7       6         All four velocity/depth regimes present (if fast-shallow, isolas than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.       Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow, ison or slow-shallow if missing other regimes).       Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).       Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score lower than if final and or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.       Some new increas

 Bankfull Depth:
 8"
 Bankfull Width:
 4'
 Bankfull Area:

 Max. Wetted Depth:
 3"
 Avg. Wetted Depth:
 1"
 Specific Conductivity:
 Temp:

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
1	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖋	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e va	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>4</b> 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>\$</b> 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 💰	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 💰	2 1 0

Total Score 78

#### HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 16	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER I	Г ЕРН )
LAT <u>39.0508944646</u> LONG <u>-84.5570297104</u>	RIVER BASIN Ohio	
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/14/22</u> TIME <u>10:20</u> <b>м</b> ₁ рм	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat			Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat i obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 🕊 3 2 1 0
l sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ied it	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 🗸 1 (
Farameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	¥ <b>0</b> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 🖌 1 (
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pool
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 🖌 (

 Bankfull Depth:
 1'
 Bankfull Width:
 6'
 Bankfull Area:

 Max. Wetted Depth:
 3"
 Avg. Wetted Depth:
 1"
 Specific Conductivity:
 Temp:

## HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🌮 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖋	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
ev?	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>¥</b> 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>\$</b> 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>\$</b> 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>4</b> 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 65

STREAM NAME INT 17	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН )
LAT 39.0641243058 LONG -84.5241334042	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/14/22</u> TIME <u>3:15</u> AM M	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
manat Sumduing in sample as a graning in	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
1	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 🌾 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocit depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	¥ <b>0</b> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Ps	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖋	5 4 3 2 1
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pool
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_1.5' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_\_7' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_\_

Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 1	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<b>1</b> ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e va	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 🖌 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 97

STREAM NAME INT 18	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН )
LAT 39.0642211724 LONG -84.5237115601	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE         7/14/22           TIME         3:23         AM         ✔	REASON FOR SURVEY

Habitat	,	Condition	Category	
Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are m than 75% surrounded b fine sediment.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 1⁄2 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 veloci depth regime (usually slow-deep).
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<b>1√0</b> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fir material, increased b development; more tha 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖋	5 4 3 2 1
5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing poo
SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 🖌

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_1.5' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_\_6' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 1	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<b>1</b> ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e va	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 🖌 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 97

STREAM NAME INT 6	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН )
LAT 39.0641099386 LONG -84.5238566474	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE         7/14/22           TIME         3:33         AM         ✔	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition		
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat i obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 (
0	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are mo than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocit depth regime (usually slow-deep).
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	¥ <b>0</b> 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fin material, increased ba development; more thar 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 🖋	5 4 3 2 1
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pool
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5432 🖌

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_1.5' Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_\_6' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 1	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	<b>1</b> ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e va	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🗳	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 📢	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>¥</b> 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	<b>4</b> 3	2 1 0

Total Score 90

STREAM NAME INT 19	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS ( PER IN	Г ЕРН )
LAT <u>39.0700533752</u> LONG <u>-84.5205950578</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION #	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY MTM	DATE <u>8/4/22</u> TIME <u>10:45</u> AM ₩	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transīent).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 <b>1</b> ⁄	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ed in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
sters to be evalua	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow- deep, slow-shallow, fast- deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast- shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
arame	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P;	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	<b>⋠</b> 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)	Water reaches base of both lower banks, and minimal amount of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees: Willow, Cottonwood, Boxelder

Bankfull Depth: \_\_\_\_\_8" Bankfull Width: \_\_\_\_6' Bankfull Area: \_\_\_\_\_ Max. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Avg. Wetted Depth: \_\_\_\_\_ Specific Conductivity: \_\_\_\_\_ Temp: \_\_\_\_\_

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
1	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ing reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	₩ 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30- 60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well- represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 💋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🖋	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE (LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	<b>∠</b> 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	🖌 1 0

Total Score 90

\_

Flows into wetland to drainage tile in the middle of the wetland.

## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Brett Spence	City/County:	Covington, Kenton	Samplir	_ Sampling Date: <u>8/04/22</u>	
Applicant/Owner: KYTC			State: KY Samp	oling Point: WET 6	
Investigator(s): ELS, MTM	Section, Tow	nship, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hills	Local relief (con	cave, convex, non	e): <u>concave</u>	Slope (%): <u>5</u>	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA Kentucky Bluegrass Lat: 39.0641044		Long: -84.5	2309013	Datum: NAD83	
Soil Map Unit Name: Eden silty clay loam, 20 to 35 percent sloped, en	roded		NWI classification: er	mergent	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	year? Yes X	No (I	f no, explain in Remarks.)	)	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significan	ntly disturbed?	Are "Normal	Circumstances" present?	Yes X No	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally	problematic?	(If needed, ex	xplain any answers in Ren	marks.)	

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X Yes X	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Remarks:					
Retention area for current	I-75				

### HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicato	rs:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; che	eck all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		True Aquatic Plants (B14)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
✓ High Water Table (A2)	$\checkmark$	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		☑ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	$\checkmark$	Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living	Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Uater Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	oils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3)	$\checkmark$	Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	9)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes X No	Depth (inches): <u>2"</u>		
Water Table Present?	Yes X No	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present?	Yes X No	Depth (inches): 1"	Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes <u>×</u> No
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitoring	y well, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions), if ava	ailable:
Υ.			,,	
Remarks:				

## **VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.**

Sampling Point: W02

	Absolute	Dominant	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Species?	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4		·		Dereent of Deminent Species
5				Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)
6		·		
		= Total Cov	er	Prevalence Index worksheet:
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:		Total % Cover of:Multiply by:
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)				OBL species x 1 =
1. Boxelder	10%	Х	FAC	FACW species x 2 =
2				FAC species x 3 =
3				FACU species x 4 =
4				UPL species x 5 =
5				Column Totals: (A) (B)
6				Prevalence Index = B/A =
		= Total Cov		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
50% of total cover: <sup>5</sup>				✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
	20% 01	total cover:	2.0	2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				$3$ - Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$
1				4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
2				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
3				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
4				
5				<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
		= Total Cov	er	Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover		Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)				approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in.
1. Slender Cattails	50%	Х	OBL	(7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).
2. PennsylvaniaSmartweed	10%	·	FACW	Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
3. False nettle	10%	·	FACW	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
4. Giant Cutgrass		Х	OBL	than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
5. Jewelweed	10%	·	FACW	Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
6		·		approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
7				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including
8				herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
9				plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
10				
11				<b>Woody vine</b> – All woody vines, regardless of height.
		= Total Cov	er	
50% of total cover: <sup>50</sup>				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	20 % 01		·	
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				Hydrophytic
		= Total Cov	er	Vegetation
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover		Present? Yes X No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)			•

JOIL
------

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docu	ment the in	dicator	or confirm	the absend	e of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10yr 2-1	90	10yr 4-4	10			Silty	
6-18	Gley1 10Gy	100					Silty	
	Cicy 100y	100					Onty	
·								
								_
		·						
	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM=	Reduced Matrix, M	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	<sup>2</sup> Location:	PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						_	cators for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol	(A1)		✓ Dark Surface	e (S7)				2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
Histic Ep	pipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Be	elow Surfac	e (S8) <b>(N</b>	ILRA 147,	148) 🔲	Coast Prairie Redox (A16)
Black Hi	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Su	urface (S9)	(MLRA 1	47, 148)		(MLRA 147, 148)
✓ Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	ed Matrix (F	2)			Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)				(MLRA 136, 147)
🗹 2 cm Mu	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark	Surface (F6	5)			Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	Below Dark Surfac	e (A11)	Depleted Da	rk Surface	(F7)			Other (Explain in Remarks)
🔲 Thick Da	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depr	essions (F8	)			
Sandy M	lucky Mineral (S1) (	LRR N,	Iron-Mangar	ese Masse	s (F12) <b>(</b>	LRR N,		
	147, 148)		MLRA 13					
	leyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfa	ace (F13) <b>(N</b>	MLRA 13	6, 122)	<sup>3</sup> Ir	ndicators of hydrophytic vegetation and
	edox (S5)		Piedmont Fl					vetland hydrology must be present,
	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent I					inless disturbed or problematic.
	ayer (if observed)			,	, ,			•
Type:	.,,							
	ches):						Undria Ca	il Present? Yes $\frac{\chi}{1}$ No
	nes).						Hydric Sc	oil Present? Yes <u>No</u> No
Remarks:								

## WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Brett Spence Bridge Corridor	City/County:	Covington, Kenton	Samplin	g Date: 8/04/22	
Applicant/Owner: KYTC			State: KY Samp	ling Point: WET 8	3
Investigator(s): ELS, MTM	Section, Tow	nship, Range:			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): hills	Local relief (con	cave, convex, none	e): Concave	Slope (%): <u>5</u>	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA Kentucky Bluegrass Lat: 39.0713667		Long: <u>-84.5</u> 2	036389	Datum: NAD8	3
Soil Map Unit Name: Eden silty clay loam, 20 to 35 percent sloped, e	eroded		NWI classification: en	negergent	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of	f year? Yes X	No (Ii	no, explain in Remarks.)		
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significar	ntly disturbed?	Are "Normal (	Circumstances" present?	Yes No	Х
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally	problematic?	(If needed, ex	plain any answers in Rem	narks.)	

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X Yes X Yes X	No No No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Remarks:					
Retention area for current	I-75				

### HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:				Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is requ	uired; check	all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)			
✓ High Water Table (A2) ✓ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)				Drainage Patterns (B10)	
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)				Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
Water Marks (B1)		<u> </u>	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Dry-Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		<u> </u>	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled So	oils (C6)	✓ Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift Deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)					Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (E	37)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	9)				Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)					FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches): 0		
Water Table Present?	Yes	No X	Depth (inches): 0		
Saturation Present?	Yes X	No	Depth (inches): 1"	Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes $\frac{\chi}{2}$ No
(includes capillary fringe)		onitoring w	ell, aerial photos, previous inspec	tions) if ava	ailable
Describe Recorded Data (site	ani yauye, n	ionitoning we	en, aeriai priotos, previous irispec	1011 <i>3)</i> , 11 ave	
Remarks:					
Remarks.					

## **VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.**

Sampling Point: W01

	Absolute Dominant Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover Species? Status	
1		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2		Total Number of Dominant
3		
4		Percent of Dominant Species
5		- That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
6		-
	= Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet:
		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	20% of total cover:	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)		FACW species x 2 =
1		FAC species x 3 =
2		
3		FACU species x 4 =
		UPL species x 5 =
4		- Column Totals: (A) (B)
5		-
6		Prevalence Index = B/A =
	= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
50% of total cover	20% of total cover:	✓ 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
		2 - Dominance Test is >50%
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	00/	
1	2%	3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
2		4 - Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
3		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
4		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
		-
5		<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
6		<ul> <li>be present, unless disturbed or problematic.</li> </ul>
	= Total Cover	Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:
50% of total cover:	20% of total cover:	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		I ree – woody plants, excluding woody vines,
No moved of Cottoile	75% X OBL	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).
··		
2		<ul> <li>Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,</li> </ul>
3		approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
4		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
5		Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
		approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
6		-
7		Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
8		plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3
9		- ft (1 m) in height.
10		
11		<b>Woody vine</b> – All woody vines, regardless of height.
	= Total Cover	-
50% of total cover: 37.5	20% of total cover: 15	-
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		
1		
2		-
		-
3		-
4		-
5		Hydrophytic
	= Total Cover	Vegetation
50% of total action		Present? Yes <u>No</u>
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	20% of total cover:	-

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	th needed to docun	nent the indica	tor or confirm	the absence	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	1 . 2		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>% Тур</u>	e <sup>1</sup> Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-6	10yr 4-2	90	10yr 3-2	10		Silty	
6-12	10yr 4-2	85	10yr 4-4	15		Silty	
12-14	10yr 3-1	100					
·							
						,	
	·						
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Co	oncentration. D=De	oletion. RM	=Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked Sand	Grains.	<sup>2</sup> Location: Pl	_=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I					Oramo.		tors for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol			Dark Surface	(S7)			cm Muck (A10) <b>(MLRA 147)</b>
	pipedon (A2)			low Surface (S8	) (MLRA 147.		oast Prairie Redox (A16)
Black His				rface (S9) (MLF		, <u> </u>	(MLRA 147, 148)
	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye			🔲 Pi	iedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
	Layers (A5)		Depleted Mat				(MLRA 136, 147)
🗹 2 cm Mu	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark	Surface (F6)			ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface	ce (A11)	Depleted Dar	k Surface (F7)		<u> </u>	ther (Explain in Remarks)
	ark Surface (A12)		Redox Depre				
	lucky Mineral (S1) <b>(</b>	LRR N,	-	ese Masses (F1	2) <b>(LRR N,</b>		
	<b>147, 148)</b>		MLRA 13			0	
	ileyed Matrix (S4)			ce (F13) <b>(MLR</b>			cators of hydrophytic vegetation and
	edox (S5)			odplain Soils (F			tland hydrology must be present,
	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent N	/laterial (F21) <b>(N</b>	ILRA 127, 147	<b>')</b> unl	ess disturbed or problematic.
	ayer (if observed)	:					
Туре:							X
Depth (inc	ches):					Hydric Soil	Present? Yes $\underline{\times}$ No
Remarks:						•	