

MEMORANDUM

To: Jodi Heflin, HNTB

From: Michael Leathers, HMB

Date: September 19, 2022

Re: Stream and Wetland Summary

Brent Spence Bridge Corridor

KYTC Item No. 6-17.00 ODOT PID 116649

Introduction

The proposed project is located in Kenton County, KY, and Hamilton County, OH, and consists of the reconstruction of approximately five miles of I-71/I-75 in Kentucky and one mile of I-75 in Ohio, including the construction of a new companion bridge over the Ohio River just to the west of the existing Brent Spence Bridge (BSB). The project will extend from south of the Dixie Highway Interchange in Kentucky to Linn Street in Ohio, and consists of interstate reconstruction, widening, and reconfiguring interchanges.

For purposes of this report, the "survey area" is defined as the proposed project limits within Kentucky. No evaluation of potential impacts occurred in Ohio. Exhibits 1 and 2 in Appendix A show the general project area on topographic mapping and aerial photography, respectively.

Potential Impacts to the Ohio River

The project will build a new double-decker companion bridge west of the existing BSB. There are two bridge types under consideration, an arch bridge, and a cable-stayed bridge. The preferred alternative described in the 2012 Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) provides a span length over the main navigation channel for the Ohio River of approximately 1,000 feet from center to center of the proposed piers for the new bridge. However, coordination with the U.S. Coast Guard is ongoing, and the required length of the main span may be reduced from 1,000 feet to 870 feet during the final design. Permanent impacts to the Ohio River will occur from pier construction for the new companion bridge. Construction is anticipated to be completed primarily from barges, and cofferdams will be used to dewater the areas surrounding the proposed piers. Temporary impacts to the Ohio River are anticipated due to construction and barge staging and cofferdam construction. No in-stream work will occur during the rehabilitation of the existing BSB.

While construction details and impacts on the Ohio River will be finalized during the detailed design of the new companion bridge, the total impacts on the Ohio River are estimated to be 350 feet of permanent impacts and 283 feet of temporary impacts. These estimated lengths of impact are carried forward through this report, however, the Ohio River was not assessed in the field.

Stream and Wetland Methodology

Prior to field investigations, potential streams were identified by reviewing the US. Geological Survey's (USGS) 7.5-minute series topographic mapping, National Elevation Dataset, and the USGS Hydrology of Kentucky website. Then a walkover survey was conducted, and all streams identified were evaluated using the Kentucky Division of Water's (KDOW) *Methods for Assessing Biological Integrity of Surface Waters in Kentucky* and the Environmental Protection

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

Environmental Planning

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

Project Management

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration

Construction Inspection

Aviation Services

Environmental Remediation



Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

Environmental Planning

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

Project Management

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration

Construction Inspection

Aviation Services

Environmental Remediation

Agency's (EPA) Rapid Bioassessment Protocol (RBP) Habitat Assessment score. Habitat Assessment Field Data Sheets were completed at each stream.

For wetlands, aerial photography, USGS topographic mapping, and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife's (USFWS) *National Wetland Inventory* (NWI) were reviewed to locate any known or possible wetlands. Then, a walkover survey was conducted to identify the known wetlands and search for potential wetlands in the study area. All wetlands were delineated based on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (USACE) *1987 Corps Wetland Delineation Manual* and using the Wetland Delineation Regional Supplements.

Field investigations were conducted in July and August of 2022. Identified streams and wetlands are shown in Exhibit 3 of Appendix A, photographs are provided in Appendix B, and the RBP forms and wetland determination forms are provided in Appendix C.

Stream Impact Summary

Eleven (11) streams, including three perennial streams (one being the Ohio River) and eight intermittent, were delineated and assessed within the survey area. Ten of the streams were unnamed tributaries to the Licking River or Ohio River, and all are closely associated with the existing I-75 and drain heavily developed urban residential and commercial areas. Below is a summary of each stream and their approximate locations and receiving waters are provided in Table 1.

Note that seven of the streams were previously identified In the Ecological Survey Report completed for the project in February of 2010. When applicable, the stream names match those from that report. Some streams, however, were evaluated as a new stream type (ephemeral, intermittent, or perennial), so those streams were renamed. There were also three new streams identified, intermittent streams INT 14, 15, and 16. The Ohio River was also not discussed in the previous report, so it has been named PER 3. Table 1 includes notes of each situation and how they relate to the previous survey report.

- Stream PER 1 is a poor-quality perennial stream that flows under the existing road, and into Pleasant Run Creek.
- Stream INT 12 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S01.
- Stream INT 14 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S01.
- Stream PER 2 is a poor-quality perennial stream that flows into Banklick Creek.
- Stream INT 15 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S07.
- Stream INT 16 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S06.
- Stream INT 17 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Wetland W3.
- Stream INT 18 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S11.
- Stream INT 6 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Stream S11.
- Stream INT 19 is a poor-quality intermittent stream that flows into Wetland W4.
- Stream PER 3, the Ohio River, is generally a high-quality stream and a traditional navigable waterway. The Ohio River will flow under the proposed companion bridge.



Table 1: Stream Location

Stream Name	Latitude	Longitude	Receiving Water	Notes
PER 1	39.050786	-84.559824	Pleasant Run Creek/ Ohio River	Previously named INT 11.
INT 12	39.052142	-84.559771	Stream S01/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	As previously documented.
INT 14	39.052276	-84.559729	Stream S01/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	Previously named EPH 10.
PER 2	39.054568	-84.543952	Banklick Creek/ Licking River	Previously named INT 2.
INT 15	39.056423	-84.543709	Stream S07/Banklick Creek/Licking River	Not previously identified.
INT 16	39.050894	-84.557030	Stream S06/Pleasant Run Creek/Ohio River	Previously named EPH 7.
INT 17	39.064124	-84.524133	Wetland W3/unnamed tributary/ Licking River	Previously named EPH 2.
INT 18	39.064221	-84.523712	Stream S11/ Licking River	Not previously identified.
INT 6	39.064110	-84.523857	Stream S11/ Licking River	As previously documented.
INT 19	39.070053	-84.520595	Wetland W4/unnamed tributary/Ohio River	Not previously identified.
PER 3	39.090936	-84.522904	Mississippi River	Not previously discussed.

Additional details on the streams, as well as the estimated length of impacts from the project, are summarized in Table 2. All impacts are permanent impacts unless noted in the table. Also, the width and depth measurements for the Ohio River are estimated based on available mapping and online information.

Highway Engineering
Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

Environmental Planning

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

Project Management

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration

Construction Inspection

Aviation Services

Environmental Remediation

Table 2: Stream Impact Summary

Stream	Stream	Cowardin	Length of	Width	Depth	RI	3P
Name	Туре	Class	Impact (ft)	(ft)	(ft)	Score	Rating
PER 1	Perennial	R3	134	10	2	117	Poor
INT 12	Intermittent	R4	99	5	1.5	82	Poor
INT 14	Intermittent	R4	304	5	1	84	Poor
PER 2	Perennial	R3	64	8	2	108	Poor
INT 15	Intermittent	R4	168	4	0.7	78	Poor
INT 16	Intermittent	R4	51	6	1	65	Poor
INT 17	Intermittent	R4	125	7	1.5	97	Poor
INT 18	Intermittent	R4	43	6	1.5	97	Poor
INT 6	Intermittent	R4	90	6	1.5	90	Poor
INT 19	Intermittent	R4	134	6	0.7	90	Poor
PER 3	Perennial	R2	350 (perm) 283 (temp)	1,057	167	n/a	n/a



Wetland Impact Summary

Two (2) emergent wetlands were delineated within the survey area. Both wetlands are fully within the project limits. Below is a summary of each wetland. Table 3 gives information on the wetland location while Table 4 outlines the impacts of the project. Note, similar to the streams, gaps in the wetland numbering are due to wetland assessed in the field but later identified to be located outside of the project study area. A summary of the two wetlands is provided in Table 2.

- Wetland WET 6 is a small emergent wetland dominated by cattails (*Typha angustifolia*), into which Stream S11 drains. It is a retention area for the roadway.
- Wetland WET 8 is an emergent wetland dominated by cattails (*Typha angustifolia*), into which Stream S15 drains. It is a retention area for the roadway.

Table 3: Wetland Location

Wetland Name	Latitude		Receiving Water
WET 6	39.064104	-84.523090	unnamed tributary/Licking River
WET 8	39.071367	-84.520363	unnamed tributary/Ohio River

Table 4: Wetland Impact Summary

Wetland Name	Cowardin Class	Total Wetland Size (acres)	Impacted Area (acres)
WET 6	Emergent	0.81	0.81
WET 8	Emergent	1.57	1.57

Results and Conclusion

In Kentucky, the proposed project will have permanent impacts on ten (11) streams and two (2) wetlands. Stream impacts include two (3) perennial streams and eight (8) intermittent streams. Total lengths and acreages of these impacts are provided in Tabe 5.

Table 5: Summary of Impacts

Туре	Number	Length of Impacts
Permanent Stream Impacts		
Ephemeral	0	0 If.
Intermittent	8	1,014 lf.
Perennial	3	548 lf.
Permanent Stream Impact Totals	11	1,562 lf.
Temporary Stream Impacts	1	283 lf.
Wetlands	2	2.38 ac.

Other streams in the vicinity of the project may be affected by sedimentation during the construction of the proposed project. The use of heavy equipment to move earth and vegetation can disrupt natural drainage patterns and expose soils to erosion. If uncontrolled, this would cause sediments to build up in streams. In addition to direct impacts on streams and associated habitats, the project would produce sources of non-point source pollution such as de-icing compounds and herbicides and pesticides; surface runoff originating from a

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

Environmental Planning

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

Project Management

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration

Construction Inspection

Aviation Services

Environmental Remediation



vehicular operation such as oil, grease, various heavy metals, asbestos, and rubber; spillage of toxic chemicals transported by trucks and polluted fill material. De-icing compounds and herbicide/pesticide usage are seasonal and would result in short-term concentration increases. However, due to the small quantities of such pollutants, no adverse impacts are anticipated. Short-term impacts from rechanneling of streams for bridge and/or culvert placement are not anticipated since all impacted streams are considered permanent impacts.

A 404 USACE permit and a KDOW 401 Water Quality Certification will be required prior to construction and a final determination of stream and wetland impacts will be made at that time. Mitigation measures will also be developed during the permitting process. For KYTC, this typically involves purchasing credits from the In-Lieu Fee Mitigation Program operated by the Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR). A Section 9 permit from the US Coast Guard and a Section 10 permit from the USACE will also be required.

Highway Engineering

Structural Engineering

Water & Wastewater

Site Development

Right-of-Way

Master Planning

Environmental Planning

Abandoned Mine Land

Surveying

Project Management

Cost Estimation

Stream Restoration


Construction Inspection


Aviation Services

Environmental Remediation

APPENDIX A

Exhibits





0.5




Exhibit 3-1: Environmental Impacts



Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649








Exhibit 3-2: Environmental Impacts



Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY **KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649**

50






Exhibit 3-3: Environmental Impacts



Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649

Feet 0 500 1,000






Exhibit 3-4: Environmental Impacts



Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649










Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY **KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649**

Feet 1,000 500 0








Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649

50

Cincinnati







Exhibit 3-7: Environmental Impacts



Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649









Exhibit 3-8: Environmental Impacts



Wetlands

Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Kenton, KY KYTC Item No: 6-17.00 ODOT PID: 116649





APPENDIX B

Photographs

PHOTOS OF STREAMS AND WETLANDS

Kentucky Portion of the Brent Spence Bridge Corridor Project
KYTC Item No. 6-17
Kenton County, KY

Stream PER 1, Perennial, RBP Score 117



Stream INT 12, Intermittent, RBP Score 82



Stream INT 14, Intermittent, RBP Score 84



Stream PER 2, Perennial, RBP Score 108



Stream INT 15, Intermittent, RBP Score 78



Stream INT 16, Intermittent, RBP Score 65



Stream INT 17, Ephemeral, RBP Score 97



Stream INT 18, Intermittent, RBP Score 97



Stream INT 6, Intermittent, RBP Score 90



Stream INT 19, Intermittent, RBP Score 90



Wetland WET 6, PEM



Wetland WET 8, PEM



APPENDIX C Stream and Wetland Data Forms

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 1	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER INT	EPH)
LAT <u>39.0507863684</u> LONG <u>-84.5598242488</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/13/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME <u>10:42</u> • PM	

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1 8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted ii	SCORE	20 19 18 1/1 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
eters to be evalua	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 1/2 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	2'	Bankfull Width:	10'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	1'	Avg. Wetted Depth:	3" Specific	Conductivity:	Temp:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	1
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1/8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ling reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e ev	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🕊	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🌠	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 1	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER INT	EPH)
LAT <u>39.0507863684</u> LONG <u>-84.5598242488</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/13/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME <u>10:42</u> • PM	

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1 8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted ii	SCORE	20 19 18 1/1 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
eters to be evalua	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 1/2 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	2'	Bankfull Width:	10'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	1'	Avg. Wetted Depth:	3" Specific	Conductivity:	Temp:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	1
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1/8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e ev	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🕊	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🌠	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🗸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 14	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0522760047</u> LONG <u>-84.5597287288</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/13/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME <u>11:25</u> AM PM	

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 1/4 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ed ir	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🌠 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	1'	Bankfull Width:	5'	Bankfull Area: _	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	2"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	<1" Specifi	c Conductivity:	Temp:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	⋠ 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🎻	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 # 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 🕻 3	2 1 0

Total Score 84

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME PER 2	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0545682559</u> LONG <u>-84.5439518003</u>	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY ELS	DATE <u>7/13/22</u> TIME <u>1:02</u> AM ₩	REASON FOR SURVEY

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 1 / ₄ 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted ii	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 1/4 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ters to be evaluate	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1 8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P_{a}	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 1/2 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7	5 4 3 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	2'	Bankfull Width:	8'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	8"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	Spe	ecific Conductivity:	Temp:

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
eva	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to be	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🕊	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters t	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

Total Score 108

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 15	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0564228861</u> LONG <u>-84.5437087049</u>	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/13/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME1:21_ AM M	

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ted ii	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ters to be evaluate	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
P_{a}	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🛭 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 🕻 2 1 0

3 Dominant Trees:				
Bankfull Depth: 8	" Bankfull Width	: 4'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth:	3" Avg. Wetted Depth:	1" Specif	ic Conductivity:	Temp:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category						
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
e e va	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0			
to be	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0			
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 💰	2 1 0			
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 💰	2 1 0			

Total Score 78

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (FRONT)

STREAM NAME INT 16	LOCATION	Brent Spence	
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER INT	Г ЕРН)	
LAT <u>39.0508944646</u> LONG <u>-84.5570297104</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio	
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC	
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM		
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/14/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY	
ELS	TIME <u>10:20</u> № PM		

	Habitat	Condition Category					
sampling reach	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 🕊 3 2 1 0		
	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted ii	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 🕊 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	1'	Bankfull Width:	6'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	3"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	Speci	fic Conductivity:	Temp:

HABITAT ASSESSMENT FIELD DATA SHEET—HIGH GRADIENT STREAMS (BACK)

	Habitat	Condition Category						
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor			
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 🌠 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.			
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7	5 4 3 2 1 0			
	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.			
e e va	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0			
s to be	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0			
Parameters	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.			
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0			
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.			
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0			
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	√ 4 3	2 1 0			

Total Score 65

STREAM NAME INT 17	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IN	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0641243058</u> LONG <u>-84.5241334042</u>	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/14/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME3:15_ AM M	

	Habitat	Category			
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
ı sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.
ed ir	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 1 8 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).
aramo	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Par	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 💰	5 4 3 2 1 0
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 🌓

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	1.5'	Bankfull Width:	7'	Bankfull Area:	
Max. Wetted Depth:		Avg. Wetted Depth:	Spec	ific Conductivity:	Temp:
. –				,	

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 V	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🏈	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 🗗 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 √ 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

STREAM NAME INT 18	LOCATION Brent Spence	
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER INT EPH)	
LAT <u>39.0642211724</u> LONG <u>-84.5237115601</u>	RIVER BASIN Licking	
STATION#	AGENCY KYTC	
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE 7/14/22 REASON FOR SURVEY	
ELS	TIME 3:23 AM M	

	Habitat	Condition Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted i	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 1/2 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
eters to be evalua	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 💰	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	1.5'	Bankfull Width:	6'	Bankfull Area: _	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	1"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	<1"	Specific Conductivity:	Temp:

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 V	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🏈	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🞸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 🗗 6	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 √ 6	5 4 3	2 1 0

STREAM NAME INT 6	LOCATION	Brent Spence
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IX	Г ЕРН)
LAT <u>39.0641099386</u> LONG <u>-84.5238566474</u>	RIVER BASIN	Licking
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM	
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>7/14/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY
ELS	TIME3:33_ AM M	!

	Habitat	Condition Category					
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor		
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 50- 75% surrounded by fine sediment.	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.		
ted in	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
eters to be evalua	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).		
aram	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 ∕0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		
Pa	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 💰	5 4 3 2 1 0		
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.		
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0		

3 Dominant Trees:					
Bankfull Depth:	1.5'	Bankfull Width:	6'	Bankfull Area: _	
Max. Wetted Depth: _	1"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	<1"	Specific Conductivity:	Temp:

	Habitat		Condition	Category	
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor
	6. Channel Alteration Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.		Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 V	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
g reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.
e eva	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
s to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0
Parameters to	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	∜ 4 3	2 1 0
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	√ 4 3	2 1 0

STREAM NAME INT 19	LOCATION Brent Spence				
STATION #RIVERMILE	STREAM CLASS (PER IN	Г ЕРН)			
LAT <u>39.0700533752</u> LONG <u>-84.5205950578</u>	RIVER BASIN	Ohio			
STATION#	AGENCY	KYTC			
INVESTIGATORS	ELS, MTM				
FORM COMPLETED BY	DATE <u>8/4/22</u>	REASON FOR SURVEY			
MTM	TIME <u>10:45</u> AM M				

	Habitat	Condition Category									
	Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor						
	1. Epifaunal Substrate/ Available Cover	Greater than 70% of substrate favorable for epifaunal colonization and fish cover; mix of snags, submerged logs, undercut banks, cobble or other stable habitat and at stage to allow full colonization potential (i.e., logs/snags that are not new fall and not transient).	40-70% mix of stable habitat; well-suited for full colonization potential; adequate habitat for maintenance of populations; presence of additional substrate in the form of newfall, but not yet prepared for colonization (may rate at high end of scale).	20-40% mix of stable habitat; habitat availability less than desirable; substrate frequently disturbed or removed.	Less than 20% stable habitat; lack of habitat is obvious; substrate unstable or lacking.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 V	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
ı sampling reach	2. Embeddedness (In riffles – estimated from 5 largest rocks in 4 quads)	Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are 0- 25% surrounded by fine sediment. Layering of cobble provides diversity of niche space.	boulder particles are 25- surrounded by fine ent. Layering of provides diversity boulder particles are 25- 50% surrounded by fine sediment.		Gravel, cobble, and boulder particles are more than 75% surrounded by fine sediment.						
ed ir	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
Parameters to be evaluated in sampling reach	3. Velocity/Depth Regime (At Bankfull)	All four velocity/depth regimes present (slow-deep, slow-shallow, fast-deep, fast-shallow). (Slow is < 0.3 m/s, deep is > 0.5 m.)	Only 3 of the 4 regimes present (if fast-shallow is missing, score lower than if missing other regimes).	Only 2 of the 4 habitat regimes present (if fast-shallow or slow-shallow are missing, score low).	Dominated by 1 velocity/ depth regime (usually slow-deep).						
aramo	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						
P.	4. Sediment Deposition (in pools)	Little or no enlargement of islands or point bars and less than 5% of the bottom affected by sediment deposition.	Some new increase in bar formation, mostly from gravel, sand or fine sediment; 5-30% of the bottom affected; slight deposition in pools.	Moderate deposition of new gravel, sand or fine sediment on old and new bars; 30-50% of the bottom affected; sediment deposits at obstructions, constrictions, and bends; moderate deposition of pools prevalent.	Heavy deposits of fine material, increased bar development; more than 50% of the bottom changing frequently; pools almost absent due to substantial sediment deposition.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	⋠ 4 3 2 1 0						
	5. Channel Flow Status (Bars must be covered to score high bankfull)		Water fills >75% of the available channel; or <25% of channel substrate is exposed.	Water fills 25-75% of the available channel, and/or riffle substrates are mostly exposed.	Very little water in channel and mostly present as standing pools.						
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 🖋 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0						

B Dominant Trees: Willow, Cottonwood, Boxelder								
Bankfull Depth:	8"	Bankfull Width:	6'	Bankfull Area: _				
Max. Wetted Depth: _	2"	Avg. Wetted Depth:	1"	Specific Conductivity:	Temp:			

	11-1-:4-4		Condition	n Category					
	Habitat Parameter	Optimal	Suboptimal	Marginal	Poor				
	6. Channel Alteration	Channelization or dredging absent or minimal; stream with normal pattern.	Some channelization present, usually in areas of bridge abutments; evidence of past channelization, i.e., dredging, (greater than past 20 yr) may be present, but recent channelization is not present.	Channelization may be extensive; embankments or shoring structures present on both banks; and 40 to 80% of stream reach channelized and disrupted.	Banks shored with gabion or cement; over 80% of the stream reach channelized and disrupted. Instream habitat greatly altered or removed entirely.				
	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	10 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
ng reach	7. Frequency of Riffles (or bends)	Occurrence of riffles relatively frequent; ratio of distance between riffles divided by width of the stream <7:1 (generally 5 to 7); variety of habitat is key. In streams where riffles are continuous, placement of boulders or other large, natural obstruction is important.	Occurrence of riffles infrequent; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 7 to 15.	Occasional riffle or bend; bottom contours provide some habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is between 15 to 25.	Generally all flat water or shallow riffles; poor habitat; distance between riffles divided by the width of the stream is a ratio of >25.				
sampl	SCORE	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11	1 0 9 8 7 6	5 4 3 2 1 0				
Parameters to be evaluated broader than sampling reach	8. Bank Stability (score each bank) Note: determine left or right side by facing downstream.	Banks stable; evidence of erosion or bank failure absent or minimal; little potential for future problems. <5% of bank affected.	Moderately stable; infrequent, small areas of erosion mostly healed over. 5-30% of bank in reach has areas of erosion.	Moderately unstable; 30-60% of bank in reach has areas of erosion; high erosion potential during floods.	Unstable; many eroded areas; "raw" areas frequent along straight sections and bends; obvious bank sloughing; 60-100% of bank has erosional scars.				
e ev	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 🗸 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
s to b	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	2 1 0				
Parameter	9. Vegetative Protection (score each bank)	More than 90% of the streambank surfaces and immediate riparian zone covered by native vegetation, including trees, understory shrubs, or nonwoody macrophytes; vegetative disruption through grazing or mowing minimal or not evident; almost all plants allowed to grow naturally.	70-90% of the streambank surfaces covered by native vegetation, but one class of plants is not well-represented; disruption evident but not affecting full plant growth potential to any great extent; more than one-half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	50-70% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption obvious; patches of bare soil or closely cropped vegetation common; less than one- half of the potential plant stubble height remaining.	Less than 50% of the streambank surfaces covered by vegetation; disruption of streambank vegetation is very high; vegetation has been removed to 5 centimeters or less in average stubble height.				
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 🎸	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	SCORE(RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7	5 4 3	2 1 0				
	10. Riparian Vegetative Zone Width (score each bank riparian zone)	Width of riparian zone >18 meters; human activities (i.e., parking lots, roadbeds, clear-cuts, lawns, or crops) have not impacted zone.	Width of riparian zone 12-18 meters; human activities have impacted zone only minimally.	Width of riparian zone 6- 12 meters; human activities have impacted zone a great deal.	Width of riparian zone <6 meters: little or no riparian vegetation due to human activities.				
	SCORE(LB)	Left Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	∠ 1 0				
	SCORE (RB)	Right Bank 10 9	8 7 6	5 4 3	√ 1 0				

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Brett Spence		City/C	county: Covington, Kenton		Sampling Date: 8/0	04/22
Applicant/Owner: KYTC		City/C		State: KY	Sampling Point	WET 6
In continue to m(a). FLS. MTM		0	. Taumahia Danas			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc Subregion (LRR or MLRA): ML		L ocal reli	ief (concave, convey, non	Concave	Slone	(%). 5
Subragion (LDD or MLDA): ML	RA Kentucky Bluegrass	Local reli	Long: -84.5	52309013	Slope	NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Eden silty	clay loam, 20 to 35	percent sloped, eroded	Long		ication: emergent	
Are climatic / hydrologic conditi						
· · · · ·						NI.
Are Vegetation, Soil					present? Yes X	NO
Are Vegetation, Soil	, or Hydrology	naturally problema	atic? (if needed, e	explain any answ	ers in Remarks.)	
SUMMARY OF FINDING	GS – Attach site	e map showing sam	npling point locatio	ns, transect	s, important feat	tures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes X	No	Is the Sampled Area			
Hydric Soil Present?		No	within a Wetland?	Yes X	No	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No				
Remarks:						
Retention area for c	urrent I-75					
HYDROLOGY						
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:			Secondary Indic	ators (minimum of tw	o required)
Primary Indicators (minimum		heck all that apply)			l Cracks (B6)	<u> </u>
Surface Water (A1)	or one required, e	True Aquatic Plants (R14)	_	egetated Concave Su	rface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)		Hydrogen Sulfide Od			atterns (B10)	naoc (Bo)
Saturation (A3)		Oxidized Rhizospher	` '	Moss Trim I		
Water Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduced	-		Water Table (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Recent Iron Reduction		Crayfish Bu		
Drift Deposits (B3)		Thin Muck Surface (0	, ,		/isible on Aerial Imag	ery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Other (Explain in Rer	,		Stressed Plants (D1)	
Iron Deposits (B5)					c Position (D2)	
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)			☐ Shallow Aq	uitard (D3)	
☐ Water-Stained Leaves (B	9)			Microtopogi	raphic Relief (D4)	
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				☐ FAC-Neutra	al Test (D5)	
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes X No _	Depth (inches): 2"				
Water Table Present?	Yes X No _	Depth (inches): 10"				
Saturation Present?		Depth (inches): 1"		lydrology Prese	ent? Yes X	No
(includes capillary fringe)						
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitori	ng well, aerial photos, pre	vious inspections), if avai	liable:		
Remarks:						

VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

/EGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific n	ames of _l	olants.		Sampling Point: W02		
		Dominant		Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)		Species?		Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
1				That Ale OBE, I ACW, OIT AC (A)		
3				Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4				Species Across All Strata. (B)		
5				Percent of Dominant Species		
6				That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A/B)		
0		= Total Cov	er	Prevalence Index worksheet:		
FOOV of total covers				Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
50% of total cover:	20% 01	total cover:		OBL species x 1 =		
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:) 1. Boxelder	10%	X	FAC	FACW species x 2 =		
				FAC species x 3 =		
2				FACU species x 4 =		
3				UPL species x 5 =		
4				Column Totals: (A) (B)		
5				Dravalance Index - P/A -		
0	10	= Total Cov		Prevalence Index = B/A = Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
5				1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
50% of total cover: 5	20% of	total cover:	2.5	2 - Dominance Test is >50%		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹		
1				4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting		
2				data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
3				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)		
4						
5				¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
6		Tatal Cau		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
		= Total Cov		Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:		
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:		Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:) 1 Slender Cattails	50%	X	OBL	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).		
1. PennsylvaniaSmartweed	10%		FACW			
3 False nettle	10%		FACW	Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,		
4 Giant Cutgrass	20%	X	OBL	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
5. Jewelweed	10%		FACW			
•			17.077	Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
6						
7				Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
8		-		plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3		
9				ft (1 m) in height.		
10 11.				Woody vine - All woody vines, regardless of height.		
11.	400	= Total Cov				
50						
	20% of	total cover:	20			
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)						
1						
2						
3						
4						
5		Total O		Hydrophytic		
		= Total Cov		Vegetation Present? Yes X No		
50% of total cover:		total cover:		100		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate	sheet.)					

SOIL Sampling Point: W02

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the de	oth needed to docur	nent the ii	ndicator o	or confirm	the absence	of indicators.)
Depth	Matrix		Redo	x Features	3			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Type ¹	Loc ²	<u>Texture</u>	Remarks
0-6	10yr 2-1	90	10yr 4-4	10			Silty	
6-18	Gley1 10Gy	100					Silty	
-								
		- '						
·							<u> </u>	
¹ Type: C=Co	oncentration, D=Dep	letion, RM	l=Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	Sand Gra	ains.	² Location: Pl	L=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:							ators for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol			☑ Dark Surface	(S7)			□ 2	cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)
	pipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Be				148) \square C	coast Prairie Redox (A16)
Black Hi			Thin Dark Su			47, 148)		(MLRA 147, 148)
	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye		F2)		<u>⊔</u> P	riedmont Floodplain Soils (F19)
	Layers (A5)		Depleted Ma	, ,	- \		П.,	(MLRA 136, 147)
=	ck (A10) (LRR N) Below Dark Surfac	o (A11)	Redox Dark	,	,			ery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)
	ark Surface (A12)	e (ATT)	Redox Depre				<u> </u>	Thei (Explain in Remarks)
	lucky Mineral (S1) (I	LRR N.	Iron-Mangan			LRR N.		
	147, 148)	,	MLRA 13		· · · / (-	,		
	leyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfa	•	MLRA 13	6, 122)	³ Ind	icators of hydrophytic vegetation and
	edox (S5)		Piedmont Flo					etland hydrology must be present,
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent N	/laterial (F2	21) (MLR	A 127, 147	7) unl	less disturbed or problematic.
Restrictive I	ayer (if observed)							
Type:								
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil	Present? Yes X No
Remarks:							1	

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Brett Spence Brid	ge Corridor	Citv/C	ounty: Covington, Kentor	n	Sampling Date: 8/04/22
Applicant/Owner: KYTC				State: KY	Sampling Point: WET 8
Investigator(s): ELS, MTM		Section	on, Township, Range:		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc		Local reli	ef (concave, convex, no	ne): Concave	Slope (%): 5
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): ML		39.07136675	Long: -84.	52036389	Datum: NAD83
Soil Map Unit Name: Eden silty		ent sloped, eroded		NWI classific	cation: emegergent
Are climatic / hydrologic conditi					
Are Vegetation, Soil					oresent? Yes No X
Are Vegetation, Soil		-		explain any answe	
SUMMARY OF FINDING	GS – Attach site m	ap showing sam	pling point location	ons, transects	s, important features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Prese	ent? Yes X		la tha Camulad Ausa		
	Yes X	No.	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes X	No
Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes X	No No			
Remarks:					
Retention area for c	urrent I-75				
Retention area for c	differit i-75				
HYDROLOGY					
Wetland Hydrology Indicato	ors:			Secondary Indica	ators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum	of one is required; check	all that apply)		Surface Soil	Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	<u> </u>	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	☐ Sparsely Ve	getated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	✓	Hydrogen Sulfide Ode	or (C1)	☑ Drainage Pa	tterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	<u> </u>	Oxidized Rhizosphere	es on Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim L	ines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduced	I Iron (C4)	Dry-Season	Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Recent Iron Reductio	n in Tilled Soils (C6)		rows (C8)
✓ Drift Deposits (B3)	<u> </u>	Thin Muck Surface (C	57)	Saturation V	isible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Other (Explain in Ren	narks)	Stunted or S	tressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic	Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aer	ial Imagery (B7)			Shallow Aqu	itard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B	9)				aphic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-Neutral	Test (D5)
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	Yes No _X	Depth (inches): 0			
Water Table Present?	Yes No X				
Saturation Present?	Yes X No			Hydrology Preser	nt? Yes X No
(includes capillary fringe)		all and about a some		9-61-	
Describe Recorded Data (stre	am gauge, monitoring w	eli, aeriai pnotos, pre	vious inspections), if ava	allable:	
Remarks:					

VEGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific names of plants.

/EGETATION (Five Strata) – Use scientific na	ames of p	olants.	Sampling Point: W01
		Dominant Indi	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:) 1		Species? St	Atus Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
2			Matrice OBE, Friend, of Frie.
3			I Total Nulliber of Dollinant
4			I FEICEIL OI DOITHIAIL SDECIES
5			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100 (A/B)
6		= Total Cover	Prevalence Index worksheet:
			Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:	OBL species x 1 =
Sapling Stratum (Plot size:)			FACW species x 2 =
1			FAC species x 3 =
2			1 A00 300003 X 7 =
3			UPL species x 5 =
4			Column Totals: (A) (B)
5			
6			Prevalence Index = B/A =
		= Total Cover	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			2 - Dominance Test is >50%
1	2%		
2			4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting
3			data in Remarks of on a separate sneet)
4			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain)
5			
6			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
		= Total Cover	Definitions of Five Vegetation Strata:
50% of total cover:	20% of	total cover:	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			Tree – Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in.
1. Narrowleaf Cattails	75%	X OE	
2			Sapling – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
3		·	approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
4		- <u></u>	than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
5			Shrub – Woody plants, excluding woody vines,
6		- <u></u>	approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
7		- <u></u>	Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including
8		- <u></u>	herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
9			plants, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
10			, , ,
11			Woody vine – All woody vines, regardless of height.
	:	= Total Cover	
50% of total cover: 37.5	20% of	total cover. 15	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	20 /0 0.		
1			
2			
3			
4 5			
J		= Total Cover	Hydrophytic
			Vegetation Present? Yes No
50% of total cover:		total cover:	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate s	heet.)		

SOIL Sampling Point: W01

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe	to the dep	oth needed to docum	nent the	indicator o	or confirm	n the absence of i	ndicators.)
Depth	Matrix			x Feature		. 2		
(inches) 0-6	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> 90	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> 10	Type ¹	Loc ²	Texture Silty	Remarks
	10yr 4-2		10yr 3-2					
6-12	10yr 4-2	85	10yr 4-4	15			Silty	
12-14	10yr 3-1	100						
				-				
				-				
				-				
	-							
<u> </u>								
		pletion, RM	=Reduced Matrix, MS	S=Masked	d Sand Gra	ins.		ore Lining, M=Matrix.
Hydric Soil								s for Problematic Hydric Soils ³ :
Histosol	(A1) pipedon (A2)		Dark Surface Polyvalue Be		oo (SS) (M	I D A 147	_	Muck (A10) (MLRA 147) t Prairie Redox (A16)
Black Hi			Thin Dark Su					LRA 147, 148)
	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye			, ,		mont Floodplain Soils (F19)
	d Layers (A5)		✓ Depleted Ma					LRA 136, 147)
	ick (A10) (LRR N)	(0.4.4)	Redox Dark	,	,			Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)
	d Below Dark Surfa ark Surface (A12)	ce (A11)	Depleted Dai				<u> </u>	r (Explain in Remarks)
	fucky Mineral (S1)	(LRR N,	Iron-Mangan			RR N,		
-	A 147, 148)		MLRA 13		, , ,	·		
	Sleyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfa					ors of hydrophytic vegetation and
	ledox (S5)		Piedmont Flo					d hydrology must be present,
	Matrix (S6) _ayer (if observed).	Red Parent N	/laterial (F	-ZI) (WILK	4 127, 147	r) unless	disturbed or problematic.
Type:	Layer (ii observed	,.						
	ches):						Hydric Soil Pre	esent? Yes X No No No
Remarks:							1 . ,	